



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,163	01/12/2004	Gajinder Singh Vij	030640	3356

23696 7590 10/31/2008
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED
5775 MOREHOUSE DR.
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121

EXAMINER

DEAN, RAYMOND S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2618

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

10/31/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com
kascanla@qualcomm.com
nanm@qualcomm.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/756,163	Applicant(s) VIJ ET AL.	
	Examiner RAYMOND S. DEAN	Art Unit 2618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 July 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,6-10,12-16,18-22 and 24-47 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,6-10,12-16,18-22 and 24-47 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7, 13, 19, 25, 30, 35, 40 with respect to Lopponen and Keating have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments regarding Lopponen on Page 13, 3rd Paragraph of the Remarks "However, a group managing user 61 does not ..." are moot in view of Mathis (2003/0119540).

Mathis, which also teaches a wireless system that provides presence information, teaches a server that receives an alert including presence information (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 - 30, in order for the server to distribute the updated presence information to the relevant client devices said server will need to communicate with the devices to determine the current presence information, this renders a scenario wherein said server receives a communication from the devices comprising the current presence information, which is the alert) and transmits an alert in order to receive presence information (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 - 30, in order for the server to distribute the updated presence information to the relevant client devices said server will need to communicate with the devices to determine the current presence information, this renders a scenario wherein said server sends a communication to the devices in order to find out what the current presence information is, which is the alert). It would have

Art Unit: 2618

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the sever features of Mathis in the system of Hall as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of providing presence information.

Applicant's arguments regarding Keating on Page 14, 3rd Paragraph of the Remarks "Thus, even if the Examiner is correct in that Keating teaches Keating only teaches this in the context of a call setup request". Hall further teaches a method for sharing user information in a wireless communication network outside a call setup (Col. 2 lines 1 – 5) and updating presence information in the originator about the target based on the received information (Cols. 2 lines 1 – 9, 3 lines 29 – 43, in order for the originator (A) to be able to monitor the status of a member or members of a group said originator (A) will update the status information of said member or members upon receiving the PONG message, "busy" is presence information).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1 – 4, 6 – 10, 12 – 16, 18 – 22, 24 – 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall et al. (6,032,051) in view of Mathis (2003/0119540) and in further view of Keating et al. (US 2004/0082352)

Regarding Claim 1, Hall teaches a method for sharing user information in a wireless communication network outside a call setup, the method comprising: sending an alert from an originator to a target, the alert including presence information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target (Figure 4, Cols. 2 lines 1 – 5, 3 lines 29 – 43, “busy” is presence information); receiving information by the originator from the target in response to the alert (Figure 4, Col. 3 lines 29 – 43), and updating presence information in the originator about the target, based on the received information (Cols. 2 lines 1 – 9, 3 lines 29 – 43, in order for the originator (A) to be able to monitor the status of a member or members of a group said originator (A) will update the status information of said member or members upon receiving the PONG message, “busy” is presence information).

Hall does not teach sending an alert from an originator to a group communication server (GCS), the alert including presence information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target; transmitting an alert from the GCS to the target; registering at the GCS that no response was received from the target; receiving information by the originator from the GCS containing information about the target in response to the alert.

Mathis teaches sending an alert from an originator to a group communication server (GCS), the alert including presence information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 – 30, See Response To Arguments above); transmitting an alert from the GCS to the target (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines

Art Unit: 2618

5 - 13, lines 24 – 30, See Response To Arguments above); receiving information by the originator from the GCS containing information about the target in response to the alert (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 – 30, See Response To Arguments above).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the sever features of Mathis in the system of Hall as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of providing presence information.

Keating teaches registering at a controller that no response was received from the target (Section 0025 lines 17 - 27, there will be an indication that no response was received from a target at the controller thus preventing said targets identification information from being added to the group).

Hall in view of Mathis and Keating teach a wireless talk group system in which a user, who initiates a group session, can determine which group members are interested in said session. It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the above indication method of Keating as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of determining which group members are interested in a group session.

Regarding Claim 7, Hall teaches a computer-readable medium comprising at least one instruction, which, when executed by a machine, causes the machine to perform operations for sharing user information in a wireless communication network outside of a call request, (Cols. 2 lines 1 – 5, 3 lines 4 – 15, 5 lines 65 – 67, 6 lines 1 – 2), the instructions comprising: a set of instructions to send an alert from an originator

Art Unit: 2618

to a target, the alert including presence information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target (Figure 4, Col. 3 lines 29 – 43, “busy” is presence information); a set of instructions to receive information by the originator from the target in response to the alert (Figure 4, Col. 3 lines 29 – 43), and a set of instructions to update presence information in the originator about the target, based on the received information (Cols. 2 lines 1 – 9, 3 lines 29 – 43, in order for the originator (A) to be able to monitor the status of a member or members of a group said originator (A) will update the status information of said member or members upon receiving the PONG message, “busy” is presence information).

Hall does not teach a set of instructions to send an alert from an originator to a group communication server (GCS), the alert including presence information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target; a set of instructions to transmit an alert from the GCS to the target; a set of instructions to register at the GCS that no response was received from the target.

Mathis teaches a set of instructions to send an alert from an originator to a group communication server (GCS), the alert including presence information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 – 30, See Response To Arguments above); a set of instructions to transmit an alert from the GCS to the target (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 – 30, See Response To Arguments above).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the sever features of Mathis in the system of Hall as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of providing presence information.

Keating teaches a set of instructions to register at a controller that no response was received from the target (Section 0025 lines 17 - 27, there will be an indication that no response was received from a target at the controller thus preventing said targets identification information from being added to the group).

Hall in view of Mathis and Keating teach a wireless talk group system in which a user, who initiates a group session, can determine which group members are interested in said session. It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the above indication method of Keating as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of determining which group members are interested in a group session.

Regarding Claim 13, Hall teaches an apparatus for sharing user information in a wireless communication network outside of a call setup, comprising: means for sending an alert from an originator to a target, the alert including presence information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target (Figure 4, 2 lines 1 – 5, Col. 3 lines 29 – 43, "busy" is presence information); means for receiving information by the originator about the target in response to the alert (Figure 4, Col. 3 lines 29 – 43), and means for updating presence information in the originator about the target, based on the received information (Cols. 2 lines 1 – 9, 3 lines 29 – 43, in order for the originator (A) to be able to monitor the status of a member or members of a

Art Unit: 2618

group said originator (A) will update the status information of said member or members upon receiving the PONG message, “busy” is presence information).

Hall does not teach means for sending an alert from an originator to a group communication server (GCS), the alert including presence information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target; means for transmitting an alert from the GCS to the target; means for registering at the GCS that no response was received from the target; means for receiving information by the originator from the GCS containing information about the target in response to the alert.

Mathis teaches means for sending an alert from an originator to a group communication server (GCS), the alert including presence information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 – 30, See Response To Arguments above); means for transmitting an alert from the GCS to the target (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 – 30, See Response To Arguments above); means for receiving information by the originator from the GCS containing information about the target in response to the alert (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 – 30, See Response To Arguments above).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the sever features of Mathis in the system of Hall as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of providing presence information.

Keating teaches means for registering at a controller that no response was received from the target (Section 0025 lines 17 - 27, there will be an indication that no

Art Unit: 2618

response was received from a target at the controller thus preventing said targets identification information from being added to the group).

Hall in view of Mathis and Keating teach a wireless talk group system in which a user, who initiates a group session, can determine which group members are interested in said session. It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the above indication method of Keating as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of determining which group members are interested in a group session.

Regarding Claim 19, Hall teaches a system for sharing user information in a wireless communication network outside of a call setup, comprising: a memory unit (Figure 3, Col. 2 lines 1 – 5, 3 lines 9 – 11); a receiver; a transmitter (Figure 3, Col. 3 lines 11 – 15, in order to communicate bi-directionally the wireless communication device (31) must have a transmitter and receiver); and a processor coupled to the memory unit, the receiver, and the transmitter (Cols. 5 lines 65 – 67, 6 lines 1 – 2), the processor being capable of: sending an alert from an originator to a target, the alert including presence information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target (Figure 4, Col. 3 lines 29 – 43, “busy” is presence information); receiving information by the originator from the target in response to the alert (Figure 4, Col. 3 lines 29 – 43), and updating presence information in the originator about the target, based on the received information (Cols. 2 lines 1 – 9, 3 lines 29 – 43, in order for the originator (A) to be able to monitor the status of a member or members of a group said originator (A) will update the status information of

Art Unit: 2618

said member or members upon receiving the PONG message, "busy" is presence information).

Hall does not teach a group communication server (GCS), sending an alert from an originator to a group communication server (GCS), the alert including presence information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target, receiving information by the originator from the GCS containing information that no response was received from the target in response to the alert.

Mathis teaches a group communication server (GCS) (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 - 30), sending an alert from an originator to a group communication server (GCS), the alert including presence information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 - 30, See Response To Arguments above).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the sever features of Mathis in the system of Hall as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of providing presence information.

Keating teaches receiving information by the originator from the controller containing information that no response was received from the target in response to the alert (Section 0025 lines 17 - 27, there will be an indication that no response was received from a target at the controller thus preventing said targets identification information from being added to the group).

Hall in view of Mathis and Keating teach a wireless talk group system in which a user, who initiates a group session, can determine which group members are interested in said session. It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the above indication method of Keating as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of determining which group members are interested in a group session.

Regarding Claim 25, Hall teaches a method for sharing user information in a wireless communication network outside of a call setup, the method comprising: sending at least one alert from an originator requesting presence information about at least one target user (Figure 4, Cols. 2 lines 1 – 5, 3 lines 29 – 43, “busy” is presence information); receiving information by the originator in response to the alert (Figure 4, Col. 3 lines 29 – 43), and updating presence information in the originator about the target the target user, based on information received (Cols. 2 lines 1 – 9, 3 lines 29 – 43, in order for the originator (A) to be able to monitor the status of a member or members of a group said originator (A) will update the status information of said member or members upon receiving the PONG message, “busy” is presence information).

Hall does not teach sending at least one alert from an originator to a group communication server (GCS), the alert including presence information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target; transmitting an alert from the GCS to the target; receiving information by the originator from the GCS containing information that no response was received in response to the alert.

Art Unit: 2618

Mathis teaches sending an alert from an originator to a group communication server (GCS), the alert including presence information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 - 30, See Response To Arguments above); transmitting an alert from the GCS to the target (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 - 30, See Response To Arguments above).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the sever features of Mathis in the system of Hall as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of providing presence information.

Keating teaches receiving information by the originator from the controller containing information that no response was received from the target in response to the alert (Section 0025 lines 17 - 27, there will be an indication that no response was received from a target at the controller thus preventing said targets identification information from being added to the group).

Hall in view of Mathis and Keating teach a wireless talk group system in which a user, who initiates a group session, can determine which group members are interested in said session. It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the above indication method of Keating as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of determining which group members are interested in a group session.

Regarding Claim 30, Hall teaches a computer-readable medium comprising at least one instruction, which, when executed by a machine, causes the machine to

Art Unit: 2618

perform operations for sharing user information in a wireless communication network outside of a call request (Cols. 2 lines 1 – 5, 3 lines 4 – 15, 5 lines 65 – 67, 6 lines 1 – 2), the instructions comprising: a set of instructions to send at least one alert from an originator requesting presence information about at least one target user (Figure 4, Col. 3 lines 29 – 43, "busy" is presence information); a set of instructions to receive information by the originator in response to the alert (Figure 4, Col. 3 lines 29 – 43), and a set of instructions to update presence information in the originator about the target user, based on the information received (Cols. 2 lines 1 – 9, 3 lines 29 – 43, in order for the originator (A) to be able to monitor the status of a member or members of a group said originator (A) will update the status information of said member or members upon receiving the PONG message, "busy" is presence information).

Hall does not teach a set of instructions to receive by the originator from the GCS containing information that no response was received from the target in response to the alert.

Mathis teaches a set of instructions to receive by the originator from the GCS containing information received from the target in response to the alert (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 – 30, See Response To Arguments above).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the sever features of Mathis in the system of Hall as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of providing presence information.

Keating teaches a set of instructions to receive by the originator from the controller containing information that no response was received from the target in response to the alert (Section 0025 lines 17 - 27, there will be an indication that no response was received from a target at the controller thus preventing said targets identification information from being added to the group).

Hall in view of Mathis and Keating teach a wireless talk group system in which a user, who initiates a group session, can determine which group members are interested in said session. It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the above indication method of Keating as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of determining which group members are interested in a group session.

Regarding Claim 35, Hall teaches an apparatus for sharing user information in a wireless communication network outside of a call setup, comprising: means for sending at least one alert from an originator requesting presence information about at least one target user (Figure 4, Cols. 2 lines 1 – 5, 3 lines 29 – 43); means for receiving information by the originator in response to the alert (Figure 4, Col. 3 lines 29 – 43), and means for updating presence information in the originator about the target user, based on the information received (Cols. 2 lines 1 – 9, 3 lines 29 – 43, in order for the originator (A) to be able to monitor the status of a member or members of a group said originator (A) will update the status information of said member or members upon receiving the PONG message, “busy” is presence information).

Hall does not teach means for sending at least one alert from an originator to a group communication server (GCS), the alert including information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target; means for transmitting an alert from the GCS to the at least one target user; means for receiving information by the originator from the GCS containing information that no response was received in response to the alert.

Mathis teaches means for sending an alert from an originator to a group communication server (GCS), the alert including information about the originator and requesting presence information about the target (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 - 30, See Response To Arguments above); means for transmitting an alert from the GCS to the target (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 - 30, See Response To Arguments above).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the sever features of Mathis in the system of Hall as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of providing presence information.

Keating teaches means for receiving information by the originator from the controller containing information that no response was received from the target in response to the alert (Section 0025 lines 17 - 27, there will be an indication that no response was received from a target at the controller thus preventing said targets identification information from being added to the group).

Hall in view of Mathis and Keating teach a wireless talk group system in which a user, who initiates a group session, can determine which group members are interested

Art Unit: 2618

in said session. It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the above indication method of Keating as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of determining which group members are interested in a group session.

Regarding Claim 40, Hall teaches a system for sharing user information in a wireless communication network outside of a call setup, comprising: a memory unit (Figure 3, Cols. 2 lines 1 – 5, 3 lines 9 – 11); a receiver; a transmitter (Figure 3, Col. 3 lines 11 – 15, in order to communicate bi-directionally the wireless communication device (31) must have a transmitter and receiver); and a processor coupled to the memory unit, the receiver, and the transmitter (Cols. 5 lines 65 – 67, 6 lines 1 – 2), the processor being capable of: sending at least one alert from an originator requesting presence information about at least one target user (Figure 4, Col. 3 lines 29 – 43, “busy” is presence information); receiving information by the originator in response to the alert (Figure 4, Col. 3 lines 29 – 43), and updating presence information in the originator about the target user, based on the information received (Cols. 2 lines 1 – 9, 3 lines 29 – 43, in order for the originator (A) to be able to monitor the status of a member or members of a group said originator (A) will update the status information of said member or members upon receiving the PONG message, “busy” is presence information).

Hall does not teach a group communication server (GCS), sending an alert from an originator to a group communication server (GCS), the alert including information about the originator and requesting presence information about at least one target

Art Unit: 2618

user, receiving information by the originator from the GCS containing information that no response was received from the target in response to the alert.

Mathis teaches a group communication server (GCS) (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 - 30), sending an alert from an originator to a group communication server (GCS), the alert including information about the originator and requesting presence information about at least one target user (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 - 30, See Response To Arguments above).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the sever features of Mathis in the system of Hall as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of providing presence information.

Keating teaches receiving information by the originator from the controller containing information that no response was received from the target in response to the alert (Section 0025 lines 17 - 27, there will be an indication that no response was received from a target at the controller thus preventing said targets identification information from being added to the group).

Hall in view of Mathis and Keating teach a wireless talk group system in which a user, who initiates a group session, can determine which group members are interested in said session. It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the above indication method of Keating as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of determining which group members are interested in a group session.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding Claims 2, 8, 14, 20, Hall in view of Mathis and in further view of Keating teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 1, 7, 13, 19. Hall further teaches wherein said sending includes sending a group alert to a group of targets (Col. 3 lines 29 – 43), said receiving includes receiving presence information from the group of targets (Col. 3 lines 29 – 43), and said updating includes updating presence information about the group of targets (Cols. 2 lines 1 – 9, 3 lines 29 – 43, in order for the originator (A) to be able to monitor the status of a member or members of a group said originator (A) will update the status information of said member or members upon receiving the PONG message).

Regarding Claims 3, 9, 15, 21, Hall in view of Mathis and in further view of Keating teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 2, 8, 14, 20. Hall further teaches updating presence information in at least one target based on information received from the originator (Col. 3 lines 29 – 43).

Regarding Claims 4, 10, 16, 22, Hall in view of Mathis and in further view of Keating teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 2, 8, 14, 20. Hall further teaches updating presence information in at least one target based on information received from at least another target in the group (Cols. 2 lines 1 – 9, any group member, which comprises a target, can monitor the status of other group members, which comprises targets, thus any group member has the capability to update the status information of the other group members).

Regarding Claims 6, 12, 18, 24, Hall in view of Mathis and in further view of Keating teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 1, 7, 13, 19. Hall further

Art Unit: 2618

teaches wherein said presence information includes location information (Figure 17, Col. 6 lines 54 – 60, L=lunch or M=meeting is location information).

Regarding Claims 26, 31, 36, 41, Hall in view of Mathis and in further view of Keating teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 25, 30, 35, 40. Hall further teaches wherein said requesting includes requesting presence information about a group of target users (Col. 3 lines 29 – 43), and said receiving includes receiving information as to whether the group is active or passive (Figure 17, Cols. 2 lines 1 – 9, 6 lines 54 – 60, idle is passive, busy is active).

Regarding Claims 27, 32, 37, 42, Hall in view of Mathis and in further view of Keating teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 25, 30, 35, 40. Hall further teaches wherein said requesting includes requesting presence information about a group of target users (Col. 3 lines 29 – 43), and said receiving includes receiving information as to which target user in the group is registered (Figure 17, Cols. 4 lines 8 – 21, 6 lines 54 – 60, if the device is switched on said device is registered).

Regarding Claims 28, 33, 38, 43, Hall in view of Mathis and in further view of Keating teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 25, 30, 35, 40. Hall further teaches wherein said requesting includes requesting presence information about a group of target users (Col. 3 lines 29 – 43), and said receiving includes receiving information as to which target user is participating in a current communication session (Figure 17, Cols. 2 lines 1 – 9, 6 lines 54 – 60, idle is passive, busy comprises participating in a current communication session).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding Claims 29, 34, 39, 44, Hall in view of Mathis and in further view of Keating teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 25, 30, 35, 40. Hall further teaches wherein said requesting includes requesting presence information about a group of target users (Col. 3 lines 29 – 43), and said receiving includes receiving location information for the target users (Figure 17, Col. 6 lines 54 – 60, L=lunch or M=meeting is location information).

Regarding Claim 45, Hall in view of Mathis and in further view of Keating teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Mathis further teaches wherein transmitting the alert from the GCS to the target occurs before sending the alert from the originator GCS (Sections 0012 lines 1 - 6, lines 18 - 22, 0013 lines 5 - 13, lines 24 – 30, the server can request presence information from the devices thus causing said devices to send presence information thus rendering a scenario wherein the server sends the request before receiving the presence information from the devices).

Regarding Claim 46, Hall in view of Mathis and in further view of Keating teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Mathis further teaches wherein the information received by the originator from the GCS is a group response message containing information about more than one target in a single message (Section 0013 lines 24 - 30, contact lists comprise more than one target).

Regarding Claim 47, Hall in view of Mathis and in further view of Keating teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Mathis further teaches wherein the information received by the originator from the GCS includes an indication of which members in a given group are participating in a group communication session (Section

Art Unit: 2618

0017 lines 10 – 14, client device busy with other operations renders a myriad of scenarios such as participating in a group communication session).

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAYMOND S. DEAN whose telephone number is (571)272-7877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Raymond S Dean/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618

Raymond S. Dean
October 22, 2008

/Edward Urban/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618